

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/577,449	449 05/24/2000		Scott C. Harris	Biometrics	4716
23844	7590	02/20/2009		EXAM	INER
SCOTT C F	IARRIS		•		
P O BOX 92	7649				
SAN DIEGO	, CA 92	192		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 02/20/2009

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	09/577,449	HARRIS, SCOTT C.				
(37 CFR 41.37)	Examiner	Art Unit				
	KYUNG SHIN	2443				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
The Appeal Brief filed on 12 January 2009 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.						
To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an 1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRA	the mailing date of this Notification					
The brief does not contain the items required unheading or in the proper order.	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.					
2. The brief does not contain a statement of the s canceled), or does not identify the appealed class	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).					
	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).					
claims involved in the appeal, referring to the s by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fail appeal and for each dependent claim argued s 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set as corresponding to each claimed function with	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).					
5. The brief does not contain a concise statement 41.37(c)(1)(vi))	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))					
6. The brief does not present an argument under a 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).					
7. The brief does not contain a correct copy of the 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).					
 The brief does not contain copies of the eviden other evidence entered by the examiner and re statement setting forth where in the record that thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)). 	elied upon by appellant in the a	ppeal, along with a				
	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).					
10. Other (including any explanation in support of t	the above items):					
6. Arguments must argue separately each ground of	6. Arguments must argue separately each ground of rejection under its own heading .					
	Tracey M Young/Tracey M Patent Appeal Specialist	Young/				